arXiv:hep-th/0601232v2 30 Mar 2006

EXPRESSING A TENSOR PERMUTATION MATRIX $p^{\otimes n}$ IN TERMS OF THE GENERALIZED GELL-MANN MATRICES

RAKOTONIRINA Christian
Institut Supérieur de Technologie d'Antananarivo, IST-T, BP 8122, Madagascar
E-mail : rakotopierre@refer.mg

Abstract

We have shown how to express a tensor permutation matrix $p^{\otimes n}$ as a linear combination of the tensor products of $p \times p$-Gell-Mann matrices. We have given the expression of a tensor permutation matrix $2 \otimes 2 \otimes 2$ as a linear combination of the tensor products of the Pauli matrices.

Introduction

The expression of the tensor commutation matrix $2 \otimes 2$ as a linear combination of the tensor products of the Pauli matrices

$$U_{2\otimes 2} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\, I_2 \otimes I_2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i$$

with I_2 the 2×2 unit matrix, [1], [2] are frequently found in quantum theory. We had expressed the tensor commutation matrix $p \otimes p$ as a linear combination of the tensor products of the $p \times p$-Gell-Mann matrices [3]. In this paper, we show how to express a tensor permutation matrix $p^{\otimes n}$ as a linear combination of the $p \times p$-Gell-Mann matrices, with for $p = 2$ the expression is in terms of the Pauli matrices.

1 Tensor product of matrices

Definition 1.1. Consider $A = \left(A^i_j\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{m\times n}(\mathbb{C})$, $B = \left(B^i_j\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{p\times r}(\mathbb{C})$. The matrix defined by

$$A \otimes B \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} A_1^1 B & \dots & A_j^1 B & \dots & A_n^1 B \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_1^i B & \dots & A_j^i B & \dots & A_n^i B \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_1^m B & \dots & A_j^m B & \dots & A_n^m B \end{pmatrix}$$

is called the tensor product of the matrix A by the matrix B.

$$A \otimes B \in \mathcal{M}_{mp\times nr}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$A \otimes B \;=\; \left(C_{j_1 j_2}^{i_1 i_2}\right) \;=\; \left(A_{j_1}^{i_1} B_{j_2}^{i_2}\right)$$

(cf. for example [2]) where,
$i_1 i_2$ are row indices
$j_1 j_2$ are column indices.

Proposition 1.1. *$(B_1 \cdot A_1) \otimes (B_2 \cdot A_2) = (B_1 \otimes B_2) \cdot (A_1 \otimes A_2)$ for any matrices B_1, A_1, B_2, A_2 if the habitual matricial products $B_1 \cdot A_1$ and $B_2 \cdot A_2$ are defined.*

Proposition 1.2. *Tensor product of matrices is associative.*

Proposition 1.3. *Tensor product of matrices is distributive with respect to the addition of matrices.*

Proposition 1.4. $I_n \otimes I_m = I_{nm}$

Theorem 1.5. *Consider $(A_i)_{1\leq i\leq n\times m}$ a basis of $\mathcal{M}_{n\times m}(\mathbb{C})$, $(B_j)_{1\leq j\leq p\times r}$ a basis of $\mathcal{M}_{p\times r}(\mathbb{C})$. Then, $(A_i \otimes B_j)_{1\leq i\leq n\times m, 1\leq j\leq p\times r}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{M}_{np\times mr}(\mathbb{C})$.*

2 Tensor permutation matrices

Definition 2.1. For p, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq 2$, $q \geq 2$, we call tensor commutation matrix $p \otimes q$ the permutation matrix $U_{p\otimes q} \in \mathcal{M}_{pq\times pq}(\mathbb{C})$ formed by 0 and 1, verifying the property

$$U_{p\otimes q}.(a \otimes b) \;=\; b \otimes a$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{M}_{p\times 1}(\mathbb{C})$, $b \in \mathcal{M}_{q\times 1}(\mathbb{C})$.
More generally, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 2$ and for σ permutation on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, we call σ-tensor permutation matrix $n_1 \otimes n_2 \otimes \dots \otimes n_k$ the permutation matrix

$U_{n_1\otimes n_2\otimes\ldots\otimes n_k}(\sigma) \in \mathcal{M}_{n_1n_2\ldots n_k\times n_1n_2\ldots n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ formed by 0 and 1, verifying the property

$$U_{n_1\otimes n_2\otimes\ldots\otimes n_k}(\sigma)\cdot(a_1\otimes a_2\otimes\ldots\otimes a_k) = a_{\sigma(1)}\otimes a_{\sigma(2)}\otimes\ldots\otimes a_{\sigma(k)}$$

for all $a_i \in \mathcal{M}_{n_i\times 1}(\mathbb{C})$, $(i\in\{1,2,\ldots,k\})$.

Definition 2.2. For $k\in\mathbb{N}$, $k>2$ and for σ permutation on $\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$, we call σ-tensor transposition matrix $n_1\otimes n_2\otimes\ldots\otimes n_k$ a σ-tensor permutation matrix $n_1\otimes n_2\otimes\ldots\otimes n_k$ with σ is a transposition.

Consider the σ-tensor transposition matrix $n_1\otimes n_2\otimes\ldots\otimes n_k$, $U_{n_1\otimes n_2\otimes\ldots\otimes n_k}(\sigma)$ with σ the transposition $(i\ \ j)$.

$$\begin{aligned} &U_{n_1\otimes n_2\otimes\ldots\otimes n_k}(\sigma)\cdot(a_1\otimes\ldots\otimes a_i\otimes a_{i+1}\otimes\ldots\otimes a_j\otimes a_{j+1}\otimes\ldots\otimes a_k)\\ &\qquad = a_1\otimes\ldots\otimes a_{i-1}\otimes a_j\otimes a_{i+1}\otimes\ldots\otimes a_{j-1}\otimes a_i\otimes a_{j+1}\otimes\ldots\otimes a_k\end{aligned}$$

for any $a_l\in\mathcal{M}_{n_l\times 1}(\mathbb{C})$.

If $(B_{l_i})_{1\le l_i\le n_jn_i}$, $\left(B_{l_j}\right)_{1\le l_j\le n_in_j}$ are respectively bases of $\mathcal{M}_{n_j\times n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{M}_{n_i\times n_j}(\mathbb{C})$, then the tensor commutation matrix $U_{n_i\otimes n_j}$ can be decomposed as a linear combination of the basis $\left(B_{l_i}\otimes B_{l_j}\right)_{1\le l_i\le n_jn_i, 1\le l_j\le n_in_j}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{n_in_j\times n_in_j}(\mathbb{C})$. We want to prove that $U_{n_1\otimes n_2\otimes\ldots\otimes n_k}(\sigma)$ is a linear combination of

$$\left(I_{n_1n_2\ldots n_{i-1}}\otimes B_{l_i}\otimes I_{n_{i+1}n_{i+2}\ldots n_{j-1}}\otimes B_{l_j}\otimes I_{n_{j+1}n_{j+2}\ldots n_k}\right)_{1\le l_i\le n_jn_i, 1\le l_j\le n_in_j}.$$

For doing so, it suffices us to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose* $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix}1&2&3\\3&2&1\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}1&3\end{pmatrix}$ *permutation on* $\{1,2,3\}$, $(B_{i_1})_{1\le i_1\le N_3N_1}$, $(B_{i_3})_{1\le i_3\le N_1N_3}$ *bases respectively of* $\mathcal{M}_{N_3\times N_1}(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $\mathcal{M}_{N_1\times N_3}(\mathbb{C})$*. If* $U_{N_1\otimes N_3} = \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1N_3}\sum_{i_3=1}^{N_1N_3}\alpha^{i_1i_3}B_{i_1}\otimes B_{i_3}$, $\alpha^{i_1i_3}\in\mathbb{C}$, *then* $U_{N_1\otimes N_2\otimes N_3}(\sigma) = \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1N_3}\sum_{i_3=1}^{N_1N_3}\alpha^{i_1i_3}B_{i_1}\otimes I_{N_2}\otimes B_{i_3}$.

Proof. Let $b_1 = \begin{pmatrix}\beta_1^1\\ \vdots\\ \beta_1^{N_1}\end{pmatrix}\in\mathcal{M}_{N_1\times 1}(\mathbb{C})$, $b_3 = \begin{pmatrix}\beta_3^1\\ \vdots\\ \beta_3^{N_3}\end{pmatrix}\in\mathcal{M}_{N_3\times 1}(\mathbb{C})$.

At first let us develop the relation

$$U_{N_1 \otimes N_3} \cdot (b_1 \otimes b_3) = b_3 \otimes b_1$$

Using the Proposition 1.1

$$\sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1 N_3} \sum_{i_3=1}^{N_1 N_3} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{i_1 i_3} {B_{i_1}}^{1}_{j} \beta_1^j \left(B_{i_3} \cdot b_3\right) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{i_1 i_3} {B_{i_1}}^{N_1}_{j} \beta_1^j \left(B_{i_3} \cdot b_3\right) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_3^1 b_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_3^{N_3} b_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.1}$$

where ${B_{i_1}}^{l}_{k}$ is the l-th row and k-th column of the matrix B_{i_1}.
In the other hand, in using the Proposition 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1 N_3} \sum_{i_3=1}^{N_1 N_3} & \alpha^{i_1 i_3} B_{i_1} \otimes I_{N_2} \otimes B_{i_3} \cdot (b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes b_3) \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1 N_3} \sum_{i_3=1}^{N_1 N_3} \alpha^{i_1 i_3} \left(B_{i_1} \cdot b_1\right) \otimes b_2 \otimes \left(B_{i_3} \cdot b_3\right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1 N_3} \sum_{i_3=1}^{N_1 N_3} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{i_1 i_3} {B_{i_1}}^{1}_{j} \beta_1^j \beta_2^1 \left(B_{i_3} \cdot b_3\right) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{i_1 i_3} {B_{i_1}}^{1}_{j} \beta_1^j \beta_2^l \left(B_{i_3} \cdot b_3\right) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{i_1 i_3} {B_{i_1}}^{1}_{j} \beta_1^j \beta_2^{N_2} \left(B_{i_3} \cdot b_3\right) \\ \vdots \\ \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{i_1 i_3} {B_{i_1}}^{N_1}_{j} \beta_1^j \beta_2^1 \left(B_{i_3} \cdot b_3\right) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{i_1 i_3} {B_{i_1}}^{N_1}_{j} \beta_1^j \beta_2^l \left(B_{i_3} \cdot b_3\right) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{i_1 i_3} {B_{i_1}}^{N_1}_{j} \beta_1^j \beta_2^{N_2} \left(B_{i_3} \cdot b_3\right) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$b_3 \otimes b_2 \otimes b_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_3^1 \beta_2^1 b_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_3^1 \beta_2^l b_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_3^1 \beta_2^{N_2} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \beta_3^{N_3} \beta_2^1 b_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_3^{N_3} \beta_2^l b_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_3^{N_3} \beta_2^{N_2} b_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrices $(B_{i_3} \cdot b_3)$ are elements of $\mathcal{M}_{N_1 \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$. Hence, employing (2.1) we have that if

$$U_{N_1 \otimes N_3} = \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1 N_3} \sum_{i_3=1}^{N_1 N_3} \alpha^{i_1 i_3} B_{i_1} \otimes B_{i_3},$$

then

$$U_{N_1 \otimes N_2 \otimes N_3}(\sigma) = \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1 N_3} \sum_{i_3=1}^{N_1 N_3} \alpha^{i_1 i_3} B_{i_1} \otimes I_{N_2} \otimes B_{i_3}.$$

□

3 Decomposition of a tensor permutation matrix

Notation 3.1. Let $\sigma \in S_n$, that is σ a permutation on {1, 2, ..., n}, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq 2$, we denote the tensor permutation matrix $U_{\underbrace{p \otimes p \otimes \ldots \otimes p}_{n-times}}(\sigma)$ by $U_{p^{\otimes n}}(\sigma)$,[4].

We use the following lemma for demonstrating the theorem below [5].

Lemma 3.2. *Every permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ can be written as a product of transpositions. (The factorization into a product of transpositions may not* *be unique)*

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma = (i_1\ i_2\ i_3\ \ldots\ i_{n-1}\ i_n) = (i_1\ i_2)\,(i_2\ i_3)\ldots(i_{n-1}\ i_n)$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\sigma \in S_n$, whose cycle is*

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma = (i_1\ i_2\ i_3\ \ldots\ i_{n-1}\ i_n)$$

then,

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma = (i_1\ i_2\ i_3\ \ldots\ i_{n-1})\,(i_{n-1}\ i_n)$$

Theorem 3.4. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $n > 1$, $\sigma \in S_n$ whose cycle is*

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma = (i_1\ i_2\ i_3\ \ldots\ i_{k-1}\ i_k)$$

with $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $k > 2$. Then

$$U_{p^{\otimes n}}(\sigma) = U_{p^{\otimes n}}((i_1\ i_2\ \ldots\ i_{k-1})) \cdot U_{p^{\otimes n}}((i_{k-1}\ i_k))$$

or

$$U_{p^{\otimes n}}(\sigma) = U_{p^{\otimes n}}((i_1\ i_2)) \cdot U_{p^{\otimes n}}((i_2\ i_3)) \cdot \ldots \cdot U_{p^{\otimes n}}((i_{k-1}\ i_k))$$

with $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $p > 2$.

So, a tensor permutation matrix can be expressed as a product of tensor transposition matrices.

Corollary 3.5. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $n > 2$, $\sigma \in S_n$ whose cycle is*

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma = (i_1\ i_2\ i_3\ \ldots\ i_{n-1}\ n)$$

Then,

$$U_{p^{\otimes n}}(\sigma) = \left[U_{p^{\otimes(n-1)}}((i_1\ i_2\ \ldots\ i_{n-2}\ i_{n-1})) \otimes I_p\right] \cdot U_{p^{\otimes n}}((i_{n-1}\ n))$$

4 $n \times n$-Gell-Mann matrices

The $n\times n$-Gell-Mann matrices are hermitian, traceless matrices Λ_1,Λ_2,…,Λ_{n^2-1} satisfying the commutation relations [6], [7]

$$[\Lambda_a, \Lambda_b] = 2if_{abc}\Lambda_c \tag{4.1}$$

where f_{abc} are the structure constants which are reals and totally antisymmetric, and

$$Tr\left(\Lambda_a, \Lambda_b\right) = 2\delta_{ab}$$

where δ_{ab} the Kronecker symbol.
For $n = 2$, the 2×2-Gell-Mann matrices are the usual Pauli matrices, while for $n = 3$, they correspond to the eight 3×3-Gell-Mann matrices which are constructed by the following way : the three first are the 3×3 matrices obtained in adding to the three Pauli matrices third row and third column formed by 0, namely

$$\lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The next 3×3 matrices are obtained in adding to the two non-diagonal Pauli matrices second column and second row formed by 0, namely

$$\lambda_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The next 3×3 matrices are obtained in adding to the two non-diagonal Pauli matrices first column and first row formed by 0, namely

$$\lambda_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

And finally, the last one is a diagonal matrix which is a hermitian, traceless matrix with

$$Tr\left({\lambda_8}^2\right) = 2$$

namely

$$\lambda_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can construct with the analogous way from the $(n-1)\times(n-1)$-Gell-Mann matrices the $n \times n$-Gell-Mann matrices. In doing so, the first $(n-1)^2 - 1$ $n \times n$-Gell-Mann matrices are obtained in adding to each $(n-1) \times (n-1)$-Gell-Mann matrices n-th column and n-th row formed by 0. The $(2n-2)$

$n \times n$-Gell-Mann matrices are the following non-diagonal symmetric matrices and the following non-diagonal antisymmetric matrices matrices

$$\Lambda_{(n-1)^2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \Lambda_{(n-1)^2+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & & 0 \\ i & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Lambda_{(n-1)^2+2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & & 1 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & & 0 \\ 0 & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 1 & & & & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \Lambda_{(n-1)^2+3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & & -i \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & & 0 \\ 0 & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ i & & & & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

...,

$$\Lambda_{n^2-3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \Lambda_{n^2-2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & -i \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and finally, the last one is the diagonal matrix which is a hermitian, traceless matrix with

$$Tr\left({\Lambda_{n^2-1}}^2\right) = 2$$

namely

$$\Lambda_{n^2-1} = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{C_n^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & -(n-1) \end{pmatrix}$$

They satisfy also the anticommutation relation [6], [7]

$$\{\Lambda_a, \Lambda_b\} = \frac{4}{n}\delta_{ab}I_n + 2\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} d_{abc}\Lambda_c \tag{4.2}$$

where I_n denotes the n-dimensional unit matrix and the constants d_{abc} are reals and totally symmetric in the three indices, and by using the relations (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$\Lambda_a\Lambda_b = \frac{2}{n}\delta_{ab} + \sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} d_{abc}\Lambda_c + i\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} f_{abc}\Lambda_c \tag{4.3}$$

The structure constants satisfy the relation [6]

$$\sum_{e=1}^{n^2-1} f_{abe}f_{cde} = \frac{2}{n}\left(\delta_{ac}\delta_{bd} - \delta_{ad}\delta_{bc}\right) + \sum_{e=1}^{n^2-1} d_{ace}d_{dbe} - \sum_{e=1}^{n^2-1} d_{ade}d_{bce} \tag{4.4}$$

5 Examples

Now, we have some theorems and relations on the generalized Gell-Mann matrices which we need for expressing a tensor permutation matrix in terms of the generalized Gell-Mann matrices. In this section, we treat some examples.

5.1 $U_{n^{\otimes 3}}(\sigma)$

1) $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3)$

By employing the Lemma 3.3

$$\sigma = (1\ 2)(2\ 3)$$

and by using the Theorem 3.4

$$U_{n^{\otimes 3}}\left((1\ 2\ 3)\right) = U_{n^{\otimes 3}}\left((1\ 2)\right) \cdot U_{n^{\otimes 3}}\left((2\ 3)\right) \tag{5.1}$$

However, [3]

$$U_{n\otimes n} = \frac{1}{n}I_n \otimes I_n + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_a$$

Then,

$$U_{n^{\otimes 3}}\left((1\ 2)\right)= \ \frac{1}{n} I_n\otimes I_n\otimes I_n+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\Lambda_a\otimes\Lambda_a\otimes I_n$$

and

$$U_{n^{\otimes 3}}\left((2\ 3)\right)= \ \frac{1}{n} I_n\otimes I_n\otimes I_n+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}I_n\otimes\Lambda_a\otimes\Lambda_a$$

So, by using (5.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}U_{n^{\otimes 3}}\left((1\ 2\ 3)\right)=&\frac{1}{n^2} I_n\otimes I_n\otimes I_n+\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}I_n\otimes\Lambda_a\otimes\Lambda_a\\&+\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\Lambda_a\otimes\Lambda_a\otimes I_n+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\Lambda_a\otimes\Lambda_a\Lambda_b\otimes\Lambda_b\end{aligned}$$

Hence, employing the relation (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned}U_{n^{\otimes 3}}\left((1\ 2\ 3)\right)=&\frac{1}{n^2} I_n\otimes I_n\otimes I_n+\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}I_n\otimes\Lambda_a\otimes\Lambda_a\\&+\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\Lambda_a\otimes\Lambda_a\otimes I_n+\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\Lambda_a\otimes I_n\otimes\Lambda_a\\&-\frac{i}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1}f_{abc}\Lambda_a\otimes\Lambda_b\otimes\Lambda_c+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1}d_{abc}\Lambda_a\otimes\Lambda_b\otimes\Lambda_c\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

2) $\sigma=(1\ 3\ 2)$

By employing the Lemma 3.3,

$$\sigma=(1\ 3)(3\ 2)$$

and by using the Theorem 2.1, we have

$$U_{n^{\otimes 3}}\left((1\ 3)\right)=\frac{1}{n} I_n\otimes I_n\otimes I_n+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\Lambda_a\otimes I_n\otimes\Lambda_a$$

and by using the same method

$$U_{n^{\otimes 3}}\left((1\ 3\ 2)\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} I_n \otimes I_n \otimes I_n + \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} I_n \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_a$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes I_n + \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \Lambda_a \otimes I_n \otimes \Lambda_a$$
$$+ \frac{i}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} f_{abc}\Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_c + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} d_{abc}\Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_c$$

The difference between $U_{n^{\otimes 3}}\left((1\ 2\ 3)\right)$ and $U_{n^{\otimes 3}}\left((1\ 3\ 2)\right)$ is the minus sign before the fifth term.

5.2 $U_{n^{\otimes 4}}(\sigma)$, $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$

By employing the Lemma 3.3,

$$\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3)(3\ 4)$$

and by using the formula (5.2), Theorem 3.4 and the proposition 1.1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{n^{\otimes 4}}(\sigma) = {} & \frac{1}{n^3} I_n \otimes I_n \otimes I_n \otimes I_n + \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} I_n \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes I_n + \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes I_n \otimes I_n \\
& + \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \Lambda_a \otimes I_n \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes I_n + \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} I_n \otimes \ I_n \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_a \\
& + \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_b + \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1} I_n \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_a \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_b \\
& + \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1} \Lambda_a \otimes I_n \otimes \Lambda_a \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_b \\
& - \frac{i}{4n} \sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1} \sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} f_{abc} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_c \otimes I_n \\
& - \frac{i}{8} \sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1} \sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} \sum_{e=1}^{n^2-1} f_{abc} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_c \Lambda_e \otimes \Lambda_e \\
& + \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1} \sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} d_{abc} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_c \otimes I_n \\
& + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1} \sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} \sum_{e=1}^{n^2-1} d_{abc} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_c \Lambda_e \otimes \Lambda_e
\end{aligned}$$

By using the relation (4.3) and the relation (4.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{n^{\otimes 4}}(\sigma) = {} & \frac{1}{n^3} I_n \otimes I_n \otimes I_n \otimes I_n + \frac{1}{2n^2}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} I_n \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes I_n + \frac{1}{2n^2}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes I_n \otimes I_n \\
& + \frac{1}{2n^2}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \Lambda_a \otimes I_n \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes I_n + \frac{1}{2n^2}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} I_n \otimes I_n \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_a \\
& + \frac{1}{2n^2}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} I_n \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes I_n \otimes \Lambda_a + \frac{1}{2n^2}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1} \Lambda_a \otimes I_n \otimes I_n \otimes \Lambda_a \\
& + \frac{1}{4n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_b + \frac{1}{4n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_a \\
& - \frac{1}{4n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \\
& + \frac{1}{4n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} d_{abc} I_n \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_c - \frac{i}{4n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} f_{abc} I_n \otimes \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_c \\
& + \frac{1}{4n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} d_{abc} \Lambda_a \otimes I_n \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_c - \frac{i}{4n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} f_{abc} \Lambda_a \otimes I_n \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_c \\
& + \frac{1}{4n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} d_{abc} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_c \otimes I_n - \frac{i}{4n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} f_{abc} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_c \otimes I_n \\
& + \frac{1}{4n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} d_{abc} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes I_n \otimes \Lambda_c - \frac{i}{4n}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1} f_{abc} \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes I_n \otimes \Lambda_c \\
& + \frac{1}{8}\sum_{a=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{b=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{c=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{e=1}^{n^2-1}\sum_{g=1}^{n^2-1} (-i f_{abc} d_{ceg} + i d_{abc} f_{ceg} + d_{aec} d_{bgc} - d_{agc} d_{cbe} + d_{abc} d_{ceg}) \\
& \Lambda_a \otimes \Lambda_b \otimes \Lambda_g \otimes \Lambda_e
\end{aligned}
$$

5.3 $U_{2^{\otimes 3}}(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in S_3$

Now we give the formulae giving $U_{2^{\otimes 3}}(\sigma)$, naturally in terms of the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using the relation [8]

$$\sigma_l \sigma_k = \delta_{lk} I_2 + i \sum_{m=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{lkm} \sigma_m$$

where ε_{ijk} is totally antisymmetric in the three indices, which is equal 1 if $(i \;\; j \;\; k) = (1 \;\; 2 \;\; 3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_{2^{\otimes 3}}(1 \;\; 2 \;\; 3) = \frac{1}{4} I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{3} I_2 \otimes \sigma_l \otimes \sigma_l + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{3} \sigma_l \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma_l \\ + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{3} \sigma_l \otimes \sigma_l \otimes I_2 - \frac{i}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j \otimes \sigma_k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U_{2^{\otimes 3}}(1 \;\; 3 \;\; 2) = \frac{1}{4} I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{3} I_2 \otimes \sigma_l \otimes \sigma_l + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{3} \sigma_l \otimes I_2 \otimes \sigma_l \\ + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{3} \sigma_l \otimes \sigma_l \otimes I_2 + \frac{i}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j \otimes \sigma_k \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion

Based on the fact that a tensor permutation matrix is a product of tensor transposition matrices and on the Theorem 2.1, with the help of the expression of a tensor commutation matrix in terms of the generalized Gell-Mann matrices, we can express a tensor permutation matrix as linear combination of the tensor products of the generalized Gell-Mann matrices.

We have no intention for searching a general formula. However, we have shown that any tensor permutation matrix can be expressed in terms of the generalized Gell-Mann matrices and then the expression can be simplified by using the relations between these Matrices.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Ratsimbarison Mahasedra for encouragement and for critical reading the manuscript.

References

[1] FADDEV.L.D, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A, Vol.10, No 13, May,1848 (1995).

[2] FUJII.K,arXiv : quant-ph/0112090, prepared for 10th Numazu Meeting on Integral System, Noncommutative Geometry and Quantum theory, Numazu Shizuoka Japan, 7-9 Mai 2002.

[3] RAKOTONIRINA.C, arXiv :math.GM/0511451.

[4] RAOELINA ANDRIAMBOLOLONA, *Algèbre linéaire et Multilinéaire. Applications*, collection LIRA, tome 2, (1986).

[5] MERRIS.R, *Multilinear Algebra*, Gordon and Breach Sciences Publishers,(1997).

[6] NARISON.S, *Spectral sum Rules*, World Scientific Lecture Notes in Physics - Vol.26, 501, (1989).

[7] PICH.A, arXiv :hep-ph/9505231.

[8] RAOELINA ANDRIAMBOLOLONA, Ann.Univ.Madagascar, Série Sc. Nature et Math, n°9, (1972).